

HZPP-97-04

July 1, 1997

## A COLORED PARTICLE ACCELERATION BY FLUCTUATIONS IN QGP \*

ZHENG XIAOPING    LI JIARONG

The Institute of Particle Physics of Huazhong Normal University

Wuhan, 430070, P.R. China

February 1, 2008

**ABSTRACT**

We discuss the energy variation of a parton passing through a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) taking into account nonlinear polarization effect. We find the parton can be accelerated by fluctuations in QGP, which gives us a new physical insight about the response of QGP to such external the current.

**KEY WORDS:** quark-gluon plasma, nonlinear response theory, fluctuation.

**PACS** numbers: 12.38.Mh, 25.75.-q, 51.10.+y

INSTITUTE OF PARTICLE PHYSICS

HUAZHONG NORMAL UNIVERSITY

WUHAN CHINA

---

\*This work is supported by the National Natural Science Fund of China.

There exist hard and soft processes in relativistic heavy ion collisions. The former cause to produce the fast particles such as  $J/\psi$ , the later can lead to thermal dense matter such as quark-gluon plasma (QGP). When  $J/\psi$  passes through QGP, on one hand, it is well known fact that a  $J/\psi$  jet is suppressed because the deconfinemental transition can dissolve the  $J/\psi$  particle into partons, on the other hand, the fast partons will interact with the QGP and the energy of the partons should be changed. Since Satz et al pointed out that  $J/\psi$ -suppression is a feasible sign to probe a QGP in 1986[1], a number of experiments and theoretical analyses have been made. Up to now, however, one has not determined whether QGP results from the heavy ion collisions. Some works[2, 3, 4, 5] have been studying the energy loss of a fast parton passing through QGP in recent years. One expected the  $J/\psi$ -suppression together energy variations of partons can be used to define the real signs about the production of QGP.

Now we set about our analyses and calculations. Without considering collisions of the parton with individual particles in QGP, the classical expression for the parton energy variation per unit time reads

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dt}\right) = \int d^3x \mathbf{j}_{\text{ext}}^a(x) \cdot \mathbf{E}^a(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}^a, a = 1, \dots, 8$  is the chromoelectric field induced in the plasma by the external current  $\mathbf{j}_{\text{ext}}^a$ . Suppose a parton with charge  $Q^a$  is moving in the plasma with a fixed velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ . The external current density created by the parton charge is

$$\mathbf{j}_{\text{ext}}^a(x) = Q^a \mathbf{v} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}t), \quad (2)$$

$Q^a Q_a = g^2 C_Q$  where  $C_Q = \frac{4}{3}(3)$  for a quark(gluon);  $g$  is the QCD coupling constant,  $\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$ . In a linear response theory, one has completed the calculus of Eq(1) and found that the work done by the induced fields on the external current created by moving parton is always negative, namely, a parton loses its energy.

As is well known, the nonlinear effects arises from fluctuations in a plasma are important, and they influence undoubtedly on the calculations of Eq(1). It has been verified that the

linear effects are Abelian-like and pure non-Abelian effect dominates only in the nonlinear effects[6, 7]. Therefore, this paper emphasizes the solutions for Eq(1) in the framework of a nonlinear response theory.

According to the kinetic theory for QGP, the fluctuation currents  $j_T$  can be defined by the fluctuations of particle distributions and expanded in terms of fluctuate field  $E^T$ . We had ever proved that the nonlinear effects are observable until three-order current[6, 8]. Thus, the field equation in QGP reads

$$-\omega\epsilon^l(\omega, k)[E(\omega, k) + E^T(\omega, k)] = i\frac{\mathbf{k}}{k} \cdot (\mathbf{j}_T^{(3)}(\omega, k) + \mathbf{j}_{\text{ext}}(\omega, k)). \quad (3)$$

where

$$\epsilon^l(\omega, k) = 1 + \frac{m_D^2}{k^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\omega}{2k} \ln \left| \frac{\omega - k}{\omega + k} \right| + \frac{i\pi\omega}{2k} \theta(k - |\omega|) \right] \quad (4)$$

is the longitudinal dielectric coefficient of QGP in a linear response approximation. Multiplying Eq(3) by  $E(\omega', k') + E^T(\omega', k')$ , taking the average over phases and integrating over  $\omega'$  and  $k'$ , we have

$$-\omega[\epsilon^l(\omega, k) + \epsilon^n(\omega, k)][E^2(\omega, k) + I(\omega, k)] = i\frac{\mathbf{k}}{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\text{ext}}(\omega, k)E(\omega, k), \quad (5)$$

where  $\epsilon^n$  is called nonlinear permeability[8];  $I = \langle E^T E^T \rangle$  is fluctuation correlation intensity. Computing  $\epsilon^n$  to the leading order in  $g$ , we find[6]

$$\epsilon^n(\omega, k) = -\frac{Ng^2m_D^2}{4\omega^2} \int d^4k_1 I(\mathbf{k}_1) \frac{\pi}{\omega_1^2} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_1(\mathbf{k}_1)) (\omega \Gamma_{k, k_1, -k_1, k} + \omega_1 \Gamma_{k, k_1, k, -k_1}) \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma_{k, k_1, k_2, k_3} = \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{v}_p \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|} \frac{\mathbf{v}_p \mathbf{k}_1}{|\mathbf{k}_1|} \frac{\mathbf{v}_p \mathbf{k}_2}{|\mathbf{k}_2|} \frac{\mathbf{v}_p \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_3|} \frac{1}{v_p k + i0^+} \frac{1}{v_p(k_2 + k_3) + i0^+} \frac{1}{v_p k_3 + i0^+}.$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_p$  is thermal particle velocity. We know the pure non-Abelian contributions to the nonlinear permeability dominate in QGP in accordance with Ref[7]. Since the fluctuate field  $E^T$  is weak, we can consider  $I \ll E^2$ . Thus, Eq(5) is able to simplified as

$$-\omega[\epsilon^l(\omega, k) + \epsilon^n(\omega, k)]E(\omega, k) = i\frac{\mathbf{k}}{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\text{ext}}. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, we are able to obtain the field created by a current from Eq(7) under taking nonlinear polarization into account. Substituting the chromoelectric field found from Eq(7) and the Fourier transformed current Eq(2) into Eq(1), one finds

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dt}\right) = \frac{C_Q \alpha_s}{2\pi^2} \int d^3k d\omega \omega \left[ \frac{1}{k^2} \text{Im} \frac{1}{\epsilon^l + \epsilon^n} \right] \delta(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}), \quad (8)$$

It is convenient to change the energy variation per unit time into the energy variation per unit length:

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right) = \frac{C_Q \alpha_s}{2\pi^2 v} \int d^3k d\omega \omega \left[ \frac{1}{k^2} \text{Im} \frac{1}{\epsilon^l + \epsilon^n} \right] \delta(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}), \quad (9)$$

where  $v = |\mathbf{v}|$ . In weak turbulent theory,  $\text{Re}\epsilon^l \gg \text{Re}\epsilon^n$ , we write respectively the variations due to linear effects and nonlinear effects following

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)^l = -\frac{C_Q \alpha_s}{2\pi^2 v} \int d^3k d\omega \omega \left[ \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\text{Im}\epsilon^l}{(\epsilon^l)^2} \right] \delta(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}), \quad (10)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)^n = -\frac{C_Q \alpha_s}{2\pi^2 v} \int d^3k d\omega \omega \left[ \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\text{Im}\epsilon^n}{(\epsilon^l)^2} \right] \delta(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}). \quad (11)$$

Eq(10) represents the energy variation of the parton due to the linear polarization effects of QGP and have been discussed in[4, 5]. Since the right side of Eq(10) is always negative, ones spontaneously reach a conclusion that the linear polarization effects lead to the energy loss of the parton[2, 4, 5]. Unlike the previous studies, we obtain another energy variation (Eq(11)) besides the known result (Eq(10)) in this letter. The new energy variation of the parton is due to nonlinear polarization arising from the interactions of fluctuations in QGP.

To clarify deeply the point into the essence of Eq(11), we must solve Eq(11). Substituting Eq(6) into Eq(11), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)^n &= \frac{NC_Q \alpha_s^2 m_D^2}{8\pi^3 v} \int \frac{d^3k}{k^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{1}{(\epsilon^l)^2} \delta(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \int d^3k_1 I(k_1) \frac{1}{\omega_1^2} \\ &\times \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{v}_p \cdot \mathbf{k}}{k^2} \frac{\mathbf{v}_p \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{k_1^2} \mathcal{P}(\omega_1 \mathcal{P}_1 - \omega \mathcal{P}) \delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \mathbf{v}_p \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\frac{1}{\omega - \mathbf{v}_p \cdot \mathbf{k}}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_{\frac{1}{\omega_1 - \mathbf{v}_p \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}}$ ;  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes taking the principal value of a function. To obtain some analytic results, it is necessary to divide the integral over the transferred momentum  $k$  into two distinct regions: short wavelength ( $k > k_0$ ) and long wavelength ( $k < k_0$ ), where  $k_0$  is a momentum of the order of the Debye screening mass  $m_D$ . Since the factor  $(\omega_1 \mathcal{P}_1 - \omega \mathcal{P})$  tend to zero when the transferred momentum  $k$  is comparable to the momentum of fluctuation mode  $k_1$ , the energy variation is important only when  $k$  and  $k_1$  are in different wavelength region. Thus, the energy variation in Eq(12) is expressed as a summation of two parts:

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)^n = \left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)_{k>k_0}^n + \left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)_{k<k_0}^n, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)_{k>k_0}^n &= \frac{NC_Q \alpha_s^2 m_D^2}{8\pi^3 v} \int_{k_0}^{k_{\max}} \frac{d^3 k}{k^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \delta(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \int_0^{k_0} d^3 k_1 I(k_1) \frac{1}{\omega_1^2} \\ &\times \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \cos^2 \alpha \cos^2 \beta \mathcal{P}(\omega_1 \mathcal{P}_1 - \omega \mathcal{P}) \delta(\omega - \omega_1 - k \cos \alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)_{k<k_0}^n &= \frac{NC_Q \alpha_s^2 m_D^2}{8\pi^3 v} \int_0^{k_0} \frac{d^3 k}{k^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{m_D^2}{k^2})^2} \delta(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \int_{k_0}^{k_{\max}} d^3 k_1 I(k_1) \frac{1}{\omega_1^2} \\ &\times \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \cos^2 \alpha \cos^2 \beta \mathcal{P}(\omega_1 \mathcal{P}_1 - \omega \mathcal{P}) \delta(\omega - \omega_1 + k_1 \cos \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here, we consider massless plasma particles; It is a good approximation to set  $\epsilon^l = 1$  in the short wavelength region and  $\epsilon^l = 1 + \frac{m_D^2}{k^2}$  in the long wave region (see Eq(4)) since the momentum provided by the external current is always space like [5];  $k_{\max} = \sqrt{4TE}$  is the maximum momentum transfer [3],  $E$  is the energy of the quark;  $\alpha(\beta)$  denotes the included angle between  $\mathbf{v}_p$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  ( $\mathbf{k}_1$ ). When  $\mathbf{k}_1$  is selected as a polar axis, the approximate analytic solutions for Eqs(14) and (15) can be obtained. Because  $\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)_{k>k_0}^n$  is much smaller than  $\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)_{k<k_0}^n$  by numerical estimations, we only give

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)_{k<k_0}^n \approx \frac{NC_Q \alpha_s^2 m_D I}{48\pi^2 v} \left[ \frac{3(\pi - 2)}{v^2} \ln \frac{\sqrt{4TE}}{m_D} + \frac{(3\pi - 2)}{2} \right] \quad (16)$$

Eq(16) shows  $\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)^n$  is positive, namely, the parton is accelerated by fluctuations, it implies the nonlinear effects are contrary to linear polarization effects, The formula still shows

the energy enhancement of the parton is determined by the fluctuation intensity. Considering a case in free fluctuations ( $I = 4\pi T$ )[7, ?], for  $T = 0.25\text{GeV}$ (critical temperature of deconfinement transition),  $E = 10\text{GeV}$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)^n}{\left(\frac{dW}{dx}\right)^l} \right| \approx 10^{-1} \quad (17)$$

Although the acceleration effect is 1 order of magnitude smaller than the loss effect in free fluctuations, QGP produced by heavy ion collisions, in fact, is a turbulent system. Since the turbulent fluctuations are much stronger than free fluctuations, the acceleration effect of parton is quite evident.

In summary, we calculate the energy variation of a parton due to the nonlinear polarization effect of QGP and find the parton can be accelerated by fluctuations.

## References

- [1] T.Matsui and H.Satz, Phys. Lett.B178(1986)416.
- [2] E.Braaten and M.H.Thoma, Phys. Rev. D44 (1991)R2625;  
M.H.Thoma and M.Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. B351(1991)491.
- [3] M.H.Thoma, Phys. Lett. B273 (1991)128.
- [4] St. Mrówczyński, Phys. Lett. B269(1991)383.
- [5] Y.Koike and T.Matsui, Phys. Rev. D45 (1992)3237.
- [6] Zheng Xiaoping and Li Jiarong, Phys. Lett. B to be published.
- [7] Zhang Xiaofei and Li Jiarong, Phys. Rev. C52(1995)964;  
Zhang Xiaofei and Li Jiarong, Ann. Phys. 250(1996)433.
- [8] V.N.Tystovich, Theory of Turbulent Plasma(Plenum Publishing Co.,New York,1977).